1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
8	AT TACOMA	
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. CR 05-05704-FDB
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	v.	
12		
13	BRAYDON MIRABACK, and	
14	ZACHARY MIRABACK,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	This matter has come before the court on an agreed motion by both defendants	
19 20		
21	motion for continuous of pro trial motions data the Court hereby makes the	
22	following findings:	
23		
24	1. The Court finds that a failure to grant the requested extension of time	
25	for the fining of pre-trial motions would delify counsel the reasonable	
26	time necessary for effective	proposition, taking into account the
27		ı
	ORDER-1	
	No. CR 05-05704-FDB	

exercise of due diligence; and the requested extension of time is necessary to insure the effective trial preparation by the parties. It is therefore ORDERED that the time within which pre-trial motions must be filed in this case be extended to November 10, 2005. SO ORDERED this 17th day of October, 2005 /s/ Franklin D Burgess FRANKLIN D BURGESS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE ORDER -2

No. CR 05-05704-FDB